

The Center Robert C. Powers

July 1, 2006

Yes... There Were WMD in Iraq

The liberals made a big issue out of the fact that the U.S. did not immediately find evidence of Iraq's programs to build, stock and use weapons of mass destruction (WMD). In fact, they gloated over it with slogans about President Bush "lying". As usual, they were wrong. You won't find much about it in your newspaper because the press doesn't like to print anything about liberals being wrong. In fact, it's not really important now... except to set the record straight and again take note of how the far left distorts things to suit its purpose... in this case attempting to discrediting President Bush while he is taking action to defend America in the War on Terror.

As I have noted on several occasions, the WMD are not the most important reason why we invaded Iraq. The most important reason (the logical centrist reason) is that we had to attack Al Qaeda's center of gravity... the theocratic Muslim Facist regimes that support them. By establishing a free society in Iraq, we set into motion a great 21st Century movement that will, over time, cause the people in Islamic countries to overthrow the Islamic theocracies, choose to be a modern society and erode the support received by Al Qaeda. This is a smart strategy since it is almost impossible to root every cowardly terrorist out of his cave. It will defeat the terrorists, though over a period of time, perhaps decades. In the mean time, we have to also continue to root them out of their lairs.

WMD in the hands of states that support terrorism is still a very dangerous situation we must deal with. Discovery of approximately 500 artillery shells loaded with mustard gas and sarin gas in Iraq is confirmed evidence that Iraq had WMD and programs to develop WMD (See Senator Santorum statement about the discovery). Existence of these weapons is of concern, as other undiscovered weapons of this type may get into the hands of terrorists and be used against us.

Is mustard gas and sarin gas what we expected to find? Yes. Is it all we expected to find? No. We thought Iraq had anthrax and possibly smallpox and other deadly biological substances. We also thought they had more modern chemical substances. They likely had all of these... we just haven't found them yet. They are probably in Syria. That's where former Iraqi General Sada says they went... transported by truck and aircraft in the days leading up to the war. That's where former Iraqi General Al Tikriti says they are. He confirms General Sada's description of the events.

Bill Tierney, an Arabic expert and former military intelligence analyst reviewed the tapes collected from Saddam. He says that on the tapes, Saddam and his henchmen talked openly about the use of WMD "by other agents" such as terrorists to avoid blame on Iraq. That's "from the horse's mouth"!

What about mustard gas and sarin? Are they "old stuff"... not important as the liberals now like to claim. No! They are old stuff that is important! They are just as usable today as they were in the 1990s. Mustard gas has a very long shelf life. The French are still finding mustard gas shells from World War I that remain dangerous. Sarin as a gas has a shelf life of three to five years. However, it is most often set up in artillery shells as a binary device... that is, two substances each of which have a very long shelf life... which burst open due to the spin of the projectile, then mix and form sarin gas. This type of sarin warhead is very durable and remains a threat today.

WMD in the hands of Islamic Facist states and North Korea is a continuing problem for us. We need to deal directly with the issue and not let liberal politicians put "spin" on the situation that leads us to the wrong conclusions (as they did in the case of Iraq). We don't want to over react and go to war without significant attempts to negotiate (as was done in the case of Iraq). We must seek the center of the political spectrum in our policies... and if an attack on a nation that is thought to have WMD and will use them is merited... we should face reality now, not put it off chasing negotiations until it is too late! This is a real war against a cowardly but determined enemy whose goal is to destroy our society. Just because you are reasonably secure today does not mean that the enemy is not trying. It just means that so far we are winning... in spite of the liberals who use the war to attempt to discredit the President for their selfish political gain.
